1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Kathleen Sullivan (SBN 242261) kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP 51 Madison Avenue, 22 nd Floor New York, NY 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100 Sean S. Pak (SBN 219032) seanpak@quinnemanuel.com John M. Neukom (SBN 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP 50 California Street, 22 nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 David Nelson (admitted pro hac vice) davenelson@quinnemanuel.com QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP 500 W Madison St, Suite 2450 Chicago, IL 60661 Telephone: (312) 705-7465 Facsimile: (312) 705 7401 [Additional counsel listed on signature page] ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF CISCO	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP ROBERT A. VAN NEST - # 84065 rvannest@kvn.com BRIAN L. FERRALL - # 160847 bferrall@kvn.com DAVID SILBERT - # 173128 dsilbert@kvn.com MICHAEL S. KWUN - # 198945 mkwun@kvn.com 633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 Telephone: 415 391 5400 Facsimile: 415 397 7188 ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.
17 18	SYSTEMS, INC.	
19	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAI	LIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION
21	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,	CASE NO. 5:14-cv-5344-BLF
22	Plaintiff,	ARISTA'S STATEMENT CONCERNING JURY INSTRUCTION ARGUMENTS
23	vs.	
24	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,	Final Pretrial Conference: November 3, 2016 Dep't: Courtroom 3, 5 th Floor
25	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman Trial Date: November 21, 2016
26		
27		
28		~
	II	Cose No. 5.14 5244 DI

Case No. 5:14-cv-5344-BLF

UNDISPUTED AND DISPUTED PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS

1 | 2 | F | 3 | C | 4 | C | 5 | H | 5 | H | 7 | a | 8 | C | F | 10 | r | 11 | v | 12 | v | 13 | 14 | 15 | t | t | t | 15 | t | t | 15 | t

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Court's Standing Order requires that, as part of the jury instruction filing, "[e]ach party should support its position regarding a disputed instruction with a brief argument and citation to relevant authority, set forth immediately following the disputed instruction." Standing Order re Final Pretrial Conference—Jury Trial, Section C.5.c.iii. Arista stands ready to do so. However, Cisco informed Arista today after the close of business that it would not submit its arguments today—the Court-ordered deadline. *See* Operative Scheduling Order, ECF 406 (ordering submission of jury materials by October 21). While Arista is loathe to let that deadline pass, it also believes that fairness requires a simultaneous filing as the Standing Order provides, rather than allowing Cisco a unilateral reply. Accordingly, Arista will file its arguments together with Cisco's when Cisco is ready. Should the Court wish to see Arista's arguments before then, it will file them immediately.

Finally, Arista notes that Cisco never raised the idea of exchanging arguments until after the close of business today, the deadline for filing them, and appears to have nothing ready to exchange.

DATED: October 21, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Brian L. Ferrall

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
ROBERT A. VAN NEST - # 84065
rvannest@kvn.com
BRIAN L. FERRALL - # 160847
bferrall@kvn.com
DAVID J. SILBERT - # 173128
dsilbert@kvn.com
MICHAEL S. KWUN - # 198945
mkwun@kvn.com
633 Battery Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-1809
Telephone: 415 391 5400
Facsimile: 415 397 7188

Attorneys for Defendant Arista Networks, Inc.

2627

28